

ATTACHMENT 'D'



Men's National Technical Committee
Officiating Policy

Selections Standards

Version 1.1 November 2017

This page deliberately left blank

AUTHORITY

This Referee Accreditation Standard has been developed as an attachment to the Men's National Technical Committee Officiating Policy to provide referee accreditation standards for all trial, interclub, premierships, championships, tournament and international lacrosse matches as arranged or sanctioned by the LA in accordance with:

- Lacrosse Australia Constitution and Rules
- Australian Sports Commission Officiating General Principles

This document replaces all previous versions of the Men's National Technical Committee referee accreditation standard.

Dated this 10 day of November 2017

Mark Polden

LA Director, Officiating

Recent Revision History		
Version	Revision Description	Date
Version 0.1	First draft for MNTC consultation	Nov 2013
Version 0.2	Final draft for ALA approval	Feb 2014
Version 1.0	First version approved by ALA	May 2014
Version 1.1	Review and update	Nov 2017
Distribution List:		
Lacrosse Australia website – <i>Men - refereeing</i> page.		
Men' National Technical Committee members		
Australian Lacrosse Referees Association		

DOCUMENT REVIEW ARRANGEMENTS

This document shall be maintained and reviewed annually by the Men's National Technical Committee.

The Referee in Chief, Australia is responsible for managing the updating of this document, in consultation with Men's National Technical Committee members, using the template approved by the Lacrosse Australia.

Proposed changes to this document should be forwarded to:

Lacrosse Australia at
officiating@lacrosse.com.au

Or

At www.lacrosse.com.au

AUTHORITY	3
DOCUMENT REVIEW ARRANGEMENTS.....	4
1 INTRODUCTION.....	7
2 AIM.....	7
3 APPLICATION	7
3.1 REQUESTS FOR OFFICIALS	7
3.2 ESTABLISHING SELECTION PANEL	8
3.2.1 International	8
3.2.2 Men's Indoor Lacrosse	8
3.2.3 LA Championships & Tournaments	8
3.2.4 Other Tournaments.....	9
3.3 ESSENTIAL SELECTION CRITERIA.....	9
3.4 LIMITATIONS	9
4 NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS / TOURNAMENTS	10
4.1 DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS	10
4.2 REFEREE SELECTION CRITERIA	11
4.3 ASSESSOR & OTHER OFFICIALS.....	11
4.4 SELECTION PROCESS	12
4.5 ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATE APPOINTMENTS	12
4.6 INVITED OFFICIALS	12
5 INTERNATIONAL SELECTION CRITERIA.....	12
5.1 FIL MEN'S & U19s CHAMPIONSHIPS REFEREES	12
5.2 ASPAC / EUROPEAN / WORLD INDOOR REFEREES.....	13
5.3 ASSESSOR & OTHER OFFICIALS.....	13
6 INTERNATIONAL SELECTION PROCESSES.....	14
6.1 DEALING WITH APPLICATIONS	14
6.2 ASSESSMENT OF APPLICATIONS.....	15
6.2.1 Determine Essential Requirements	16
6.2.2 Assessing Highly Desirable requirements	16
6.2.3 Assessing discriminating factors	16
6.2.4 Short-listing	17
6.2.5 Further Assessment Methods	17
6.2.6 Finalising Selections	18
6.2.7 Selection of Alternates.....	18
7 APPOINTING SELECTED OFFICIALS.....	18
7.1 APPROVAL OF ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS.....	18
7.2 FEEDBACK TO APPLICANTS	19
8 ADMINISTRATION	20

This page deliberately left blank

Men's National Technical Committee

ATTACHMENT 'D'

SELECTION STANDARDS

1 INTRODUCTION

This Standard is an attachment to Lacrosse Australia (LA) Men's National Technical Committee (MNTC) Officiating Policy. It is part of a formal officiating policy structure which includes standards for the training, assessment and selection of Australian lacrosse officials (referees, assessors and other officials).

2 AIM

To: provide fair and equitable selection standards for the appointment of lacrosse officials in accordance with the requirements of the LA Officiating Policy.

3 APPLICATION

The primary application of this policy is the establishment of standards for the selection of lacrosse officials for all trial, interclub, premierships, championships, tournament and international men's lacrosse matches as arranged or sanctioned by the LA.

This Standard must be read in conjunction with the MNTC Officiating Policy & Standards and LA Championship and High-Performance By-Laws related to officiating requirements.

3.1 REQUESTS FOR OFFICIALS

All requests for Australian lacrosse officials to participate in any championship, tournament and international men's lacrosse matches as arranged or sanctioned by the LA must be directed to the LA who will advise the Referee in Chief (RIC).

On receipt of an approved request from the LA the RIC will promptly undertake the following actions:

- Obtain sufficient details to provide initial advice on the potential requirements to the Chief Referees for circulation within their competitions

- Calculate the number of officials (referees and assessors) required for events conducted in Australia using the formulae described below (see paragraph 4.1–Determining Requirements)
- Continue to act as the main point of contact with the body requesting the appointments
- Commence the required selection process in accordance with the MNTC Officiating Policy and this Standard as soon as practicable.

3.2 ESTABLISHING SELECTION PANEL

The LA RIC will ensure the appropriate appointment of a Referee in Chief for an event in accordance with the criteria below.

The appointed event Referee in Chief will then be responsible for using the MNTC Officiating Policy and this Standard to:

- Establish an appropriate panel to undertake the selection process
- Consult with the panel members to determine the appropriate criteria and timelines for the selection process
- Engage with the officiating fraternity, through the MNTC and Australian Lacrosse Referees Association (ALRA), to call for nominations
- Provide all nominees with clear information about the, nomination requirements, selection processes and associated timelines
- Complete the selection process and provide the LA RIC with recommendations for selection within the published timelines.

3.2.1 International

The LA RIC will conduct the selection process for all international officiating appointments for field lacrosse (this includes all Federation International Lacrosse (FIL) Championships, ASPAC, European and other international appointments).

3.2.2 Men's Indoor Lacrosse

The LA appointed Indoor Chief Referee will conduct the selection process for all men's indoor lacrosse appointments at International and National events.

3.2.3 LA Championships & Tournaments

The LA RIC will conduct the selection process for LA National Senior Championships/Tournaments.

The host state LA appointed Chief Referee will conduct the selection process for all other LA National events.

If the LA RIC or host State Chief are not available, the MNTC will appoint a RIC for the relevant event.

3.2.4 Other Tournaments

For all other tournaments the MNTC will appoint a RIC for the event.

3.3 ESSENTIAL SELECTION CRITERIA

The selection criteria detailed below will be applied by the appointed event Referee in Chief to the processes for selecting officials in accordance with the principles contained within the MNTC Officiating Policy and Terms of Reference.

Essential criteria for all officials in any competition are:

1. Eligible for the relevant competition in accordance with the applicable competition by-laws and regulations and;
2. Currently active within Australia as a referee, assessor or official (as appropriate) and;
3. Completed the relevant ASADA Anti-doping eLearning course(s) and;
4. Accredited at the required level in accordance with the relevant MNTC Officiating Accreditation Standard or;
5. Invited to participate (in Australian National Championships / Tournaments) by the LA and assessed as appropriate by the MNTC (see paragraph 4.6–Invited Officials below)

Additional individual criteria for selection will be assessed within the categories of Essentials, Highly Desirables and Discriminating Factors as described for each of the competitions listed below.

3.4 LIMITATIONS

The MNTC is responsible for ensuring that all officials recommended by a selection panel for nomination to the LA for appointments in accordance with this Standard are suitable qualified for the relevant competition.

The MNTC may recommend to the LA RIC that there are insufficient officials with the relevant qualifications to meet the request for officiating appointments to a particular competition. This determination will only be made after the selection processes required by this Standard have been completed.

The MNTC retains the right to directly appoint officials to nominated roles under the provisions of MNTC Officiating Policy, paragraph 3.1 Key Principles to *“Support for succession / development / mentoring strategies for individuals, State or National officiating groups”* where there are insufficient:

- Ranked alternates available to undertake a nominated role
- Applicants for any advertised role(s)

In the absence of any ranked alternate or applicants available to undertake a nominated role the LA Referee in Chief will consult with State Chief Referees to identify:

- Any applicant who may be ineligible for selection under essential requirement number 3 (refer to para 3.3 above)
- Any other official who may be sufficiently qualified to deliver the kind of support provided for in the 'Key Principles' (refer to paragraph above).

All officials identified in this way will then be assessed and ranked using the selection processes and the final nominee(s) approved by the MNTC before presentation to the LA RIC (see paragraphs 6.1 and 6.3 below).

4 NATIONAL CHAMPIONSHIPS / TOURNAMENTS

The MNTC and LA Director of Officiating are responsible for the appointment of a Chief Referee and Referee panels under the provisions of the LA By-Laws for Championships & Tournaments. To comply with this responsibility, this Standard details the following criteria for the selection of officials for Australian National Championships / Tournaments ('Events').

The appointed event Referee in Chief (see paragraph 3.2.3 above) is responsible for appointment of referees and assessors to these events in accordance with the following requirements.

4.1 DETERMINING REQUIREMENTS

The LA Referee in Chief is responsible for determining the number of officials required for events conducted in Australia (see paragraph 3.1 above) in accordance with the following formula.

Referees

The number of referees required for any event will be determined using the FIL formula as follows:

Multiply the number of games per day by four plus five percent. (e.g. 2 games a day x 4 referees a day + 5% = 9 Referees required)

Assessors

The number of assessors required for any event (excluding the appointed event Referee in Chief) will be determined using the following formula:

Multiply the number of games per day by four.
(e.g. 2 games a day x 4 assessors = 8 Assessors required)

As the number of games per day increases, the numbers must be adjusted to ensure that assessors are not required to assess more than three games per day.

4.2 REFEREE SELECTION CRITERIA

Essentials

- Hold an appropriate accreditation level for the applicable event
- A minimum of one State accredited official per team attending (from that State)
- Must be a least two years older than the age limited competition
- Must have completed the ASADA eLearning Level 1 course (and then annual completion of the Level 2 course).

Highly Desirables

- Prior participation in tournaments at this level
- Selected for finals in their domestic competition.

Discriminating Factors

- Ranked in the NGS at a level that demonstrates ability and sufficient experience to sustain performance over the period of the proposed event.
- Performance at applicable selection trials
- Development potential / mentoring skills

4.3 ASSESSOR & OTHER OFFICIALS

Essentials

- Hold an appropriate accreditation level for the applicable event
- Where practicable, ensure a minimum of one representative from each state
- Must have completed the ASADA eLearning Level 1 course and Coach course (and then annual completion of the Level 2 course).

Highly Desirables

- Experience as a referee
- Prior participation as an assessor at events

Discriminating Factors

- Overall experience and contribution as an assessor in their domestic competition
- Active participation in the relevant role(s) within their own jurisdiction

4.4 SELECTION PROCESS

The nominated event Referee in Chief will seek nominations of referees and assessors for the events officiating panel from the relevant Chief Referees and, considering the criteria listed above along with the rankings of the NGS, provide a ranked list for MNTC approval (see paragraph 3.4 above and refer to the MNTC Officiating Policy, paragraph 3.1–Key Principles).

4.5 ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATE APPOINTMENTS

In accordance with LA By-Laws for National Championships and Tournaments, additional referees that are required shall be selected from the host Member Association taking into account the National Grading System. LA Member Association's may also be invited to send Referees to an event. (refer to LA Championship By-Laws)

4.6 INVITED OFFICIALS

International / guest officials invited or approved for consideration by the LA may be selected to participate in Australian Championships if the MNTC can establish that they meet the following criteria:

- Hold suitable FIL accreditation
- Hold suitable accreditation in their home competition
- Have undertaken pre-event training assessment

International / guest officials will not replace the requirement for State based representation required by LA Championship By-Laws. They will be eligible for appointment to finals based on their rankings during the event but will not be included in the NGS.

5 INTERNATIONAL SELECTION CRITERIA

5.1 FIL MEN'S & U19s CHAMPIONSHIPS REFEREES

Essentials

- Achieve a pass in the applicable FIL Accreditation Tests which may include any or all of the following:
 - Written examination (pass mark of 80%)
 - Two on field assessments (pass mark 90% or above)
 - Formal Fitness test (pass mark Blue Division standard for Men's only)
- Must have completed the ASADA eLearning Level 1 course (and then annual completion of the Level 2 course).

Highly Desirables

- Current FIL Accreditation
- Prior FIL Championship(s) – Men's or Under 19's
- Prior ASPAC or Europeans
- Selected for final of National Senior Championships.

Discriminating Factors

- Performance at previous FIL Championships
- Ranked in the NGS at a level that demonstrates ability and sufficient experience to sustain performance over the period of the proposed event.
- Performance at applicable selection trials
- State Ranking – top 5 within the last 3 years
- Development potential / mentoring skills

5.2 ASPAC / EUROPEAN / WORLD INDOOR REFEREES

Essentials

- Achieve a pass in the applicable FIL Accreditation Tests which may include any or all of the following:
 - Written examination (pass mark of 80%)
 - Two on field assessments (pass mark 90% or above)
- Must have completed the ASADA eLearning Level 1 course (and then annual completion of the Level 2 course).

Highly Desirables

- Prior tournaments at this level
- Selected for National Senior Championships.

Discriminating Factors

- Ranked in the NGS at a level that demonstrates ability and sufficient experience to sustain performance over the period of the proposed event.
- Performance at applicable selection trials
- State Ranking – top 5 within the last 3 years
- Development potential / mentoring skills

5.3 ASSESSOR & OTHER OFFICIALS

The LA Referee in Chief (or the Chief Referee of an applicable specialist competition e.g. Indoor) will be an automatic selection, if available for the relevant event, to fulfil obligations to FIL Officiating meetings and other associated activities.

Essentials

- Previously assessed as a member of a Senior National Championship assessment panel
- Previously assessed over a minimum of 4 years as member of national championship or tournament panels
- Must have completed the ASADA eLearning Level 1 course (and then annual completion of the Level 2 course).

Highly Desirables

- International experience as a referee
- Prior participation as an assessor at ASPAC or Europeans
- Experience as an event Referee in Chief (or Deputy) for any LA Championship or Tournament

Discriminating Factors

- Overall experience and contribution as an assessor in their domestic competition
- Active participation in the relevant role(s) within their own jurisdiction

6 INTERNATIONAL SELECTION PROCESSES

The LA Referee in Chief is responsible for ensuring the following procedures are followed for all international officiating appointments in accordance with the LA High Performance Policy and MNTC Officiating Policy.

6.1 DEALING WITH APPLICATIONS

No member of the MNTC will be appointed to a selection panel for an event when they are an applicant for a position at that event.

The appointed selection panel must ensure the privacy and confidentiality of all the documentation (applications, assessment documents, final reports and recommendations) and information used in the selection processes.

All members of selection panels will consider the potential for a conflict of interest and declare it before the selection process begins, or as soon as it arises. The selection panel will determine how the process will proceed based on the nature of the conflict. In some cases, the panel member may be replaced.

All selections will be conducted within the principle of natural justice. Any decision made by the selection panel must be evaluated against the rights of the applicant.

Late applications will only be accepted will be approved by the RIC conducting the selection process when the delay was caused by totally unforeseeable circumstances.

Any applicant may withdraw from the selection process at any time. Their withdrawal must be confirmed in writing (email is acceptable) to the RIC conducting the selection process.

6.2 ASSESSMENT OF APPLICATIONS

The RIC will ensure that all applications are assessed in accordance with the following requirements. When assessing the suitability of applicants in accordance with these procedures the selection panel will use the following ratings matrix to determine the rankings throughout the entire selection process.

RATINGS	DESCRIPTORS	
OUTSTANDING	O	Demonstrated the selection requirement to an outstanding level. Provided clear evidence of abilities superior to a level required by the appointment.
VERY SUITABLE	VS	Demonstrated the selection requirement to a very suitable level. Provided clear evidence of abilities to a high level consistent with the requirements of the appointment.
SUITABLE	S	Demonstrated the selection requirement to a suitable level. Provided clear evidence of abilities to a minimum level required by the appointment.
UNSUITABLE	U	Applicant is unable to demonstrate they met the minimum level requirements of the appointment.

Each member of the selection panel will independently use the following rankings matrix to rate all applicants on each of the required Highly Desirable, Discriminating and Further Assessment selection criteria. At each step of the process, where panel members have allocated a different rating, the panel will discuss their assessments and record a consensus (or majority decision) rating on the matrix. Following each assessment process the applicants are to be ranked by applying a consensus rating and then a final ranking in order of merit.

Merit in relation to this selection process means:

- The extent to which each of the applicants has demonstrated necessary assessment requirements and potential for development and personal qualities relevant to the appointment in question
- The manner in which each of the applicants carried out any previous relevant appointments
- The extent of each applicants overall record of good conduct.

APPLICANT	Req. 1	Req. 2	Req. 3	Req. 4	Req. 5	Etc.
Name						

The purpose of the rankings matrix is to assist the selection panel with recording their assessments as well as providing some consistency and transparency to the selection process.

It does not necessarily provide a qualitative justification to support the difference between applicants. It is applied in support of the provisions of the MNTC Officiating Policy, Paragraph 3.1-Key Principles.

6.2.1 Determine Essential Requirements

Assess all of the applications to ensure their eligibility for selection as required by essential selection criteria numbers 1 & 2 (see paragraph 3.3 above).

Any applicant who is not eligible will be removed from the selection process at this point and advised of their ineligibility.

The remaining applications will be assessed to confirm that they meet essential requirement number 3 (see paragraph 3.3 above) and will proceed through the selection process.

Essential selection criteria are absolute, and applicants are not to be ranked at this point.

6.2.2 Assessing Highly Desirable requirements

The RIC will provide all applicants with information on how they will be required to demonstrate the level of their abilities relative to these requirements.

The selection panel will assess each of the applicants against the desirable requirements. The panel will record their consensus rating and use the results of this assessment to list the applicants in an order of merit. Any applicant deemed unsuitable at this point may be removed from any of the selection matrices to follow or, retained for further assessment.

6.2.3 Assessing discriminating factors

The appointed RIC will provide all applicants with information on how they will be required to demonstrate the level of their abilities relative to these requirements.

The National Grading System rankings have been 'banded' to provide a rating for the selections matrix as follows:

NGS Ranking	Selections Rating
1 - 3	Outstanding
4 - 10	Very Suitable
11 - 20	Suitable
21 -	Unsuitable

The selection panel will assess each of the applicants against the discriminating factors, including the relevant experience they can demonstrate; however, an applicant cannot be considered more meritorious because they have a greater period of experience. The experience of value must be recent and relevant to the particular appointment being selected. The panel will use the consensus rating results of this assessment to modify an original rating and re-assess the applicant's order of merit.

6.2.4 Short-listing

The selection panel will assess the order of merit to create a short list of applicants who will be considered qualified for appointment to the available position(s).

The RIC conducting the selection is responsible for advising applicants who are not short listed that they have been unsuccessful in the selection process and they should offer appropriate feedback in relation to their application only (limited to key issues relative to the applicant, not details of the processes or assessments undertaken).

6.2.5 Further Assessment Methods

The selection panel may decide to conduct further assessments to determine the suitability of applicants after they have been short listed. These assessments must be directly relevant to the particular appointment and may include:

- Reference checking with the respective State Chief Referee (on current performance and ranking)
- Conducting interviews
- Seeking further written submissions
- Further fitness testing.

These further assessments may address, but is not limited to, other competencies such as the following:

- Communications skills (papers written or other examples of activities)

- Other relevant activities e.g. assessing / coaching officials
- Playing history relevant to the appointment
- Leadership / Mentoring skills
- Other officiating experience.

The selection panel will assess each of the applicants against the further assessment requirements. The panel will use the consensus rating results of this assessment to modify an original rating and re-assess the applicant's order of merit.

Any applicant deemed unsuitable at this point may be removed from any further consideration.

6.2.6 Finalising Selections

The selection panel will ensure that all the remaining applicants are ranked on merit based on the consensus rating results and provide the LA Referee in Chief with a final ranking list that identifies the applicants rated as suitable for appointments and also identifies any who have qualified as 'alternates' for the requested / required roles.

6.2.7 Selection of Alternates

The LA Referee in Chief is responsible for identifying the appropriate replacement for a selected or approved official who is unable to fulfil their commitment to an appointed role. The replacement will be selected from the eligible alternates, ranked by the selection panel for that event.

If there are no eligible alternates available, to replace a selected official, no other nominee will be appointed without the approval of the MNTC.

7 APPOINTING SELECTED OFFICIALS

7.1 APPROVAL OF ELIGIBLE APPLICANTS

The appointed RIC must present the LA RIC with a report detailing the processes followed during the selection and provide recommendations for appointments ranked in order of merit in accordance with this Standard.

The LA RIC is responsible for ensuring the process has been conducted in accordance with the MNTC Officiating Policy and then present it to LA Director, Officiating for approval of the nominations by the LA.

The LA Director, Officiating is responsible for presenting the nominations of Australian officials, as recommended by the LA RIC, to the LA for final approval of their appointments in accordance with LA policies.

LA approval considers:

- Eligibility for competition (e.g. for international events officials must comply with passport requirements of the FIL)
- Any outstanding debts to the LA or LA Member Association or other matters that the LA may deem to be detrimental to the reputation of Australian lacrosse.

7.2 FEEDBACK TO APPLICANTS

When the approval process is complete, the appointed RIC is responsible for ensuring that:

- Any applicant deemed ineligible for selection (refer to para 6.2.1 above) are advised promptly and given sufficient information for them to understand why they are ineligible
- All applicants are advised, by email, when the approval process is completed. That advice must include:
 - The name of the applicant(s) who has been approved for appointment and/or the ranking list of all applicants
 - Advice that further feedback is available (with their contact details to facilitate that feedback)

When the all applicants have been notified, the LA RIC will ensure a list of the successful applicants (including alternates) ranked in order of merit is published on the LA web-site and the list provided to ALRA for circulation to its membership.

Further feedback will only be provided on the request of an applicant to the RIC conducting the selection. The RIC only, not other members of the selection panel or MNTC, must provide that feedback.

Selection feedback to applicants is not a forum for 'whole of career / performance advice. It must be confined to matters relevant to the selection criteria and should include positive as well as negative information and, be sufficient information to enable them to understand the reasons for the decision of the panel.

Where an applicant indicates that they are not accepting the selection process and/or recommendation, the applicant should be advised of the grievance process available (refer to MNTC Officiating Policy, paragraph 6–Grievance Procedures) and the circumstances under which a grievance can be made.

8 ADMINISTRATION

The LA Referee in Chief is responsible for ensuring that:

- The records of all selection processes are compiled and archived appropriately to support future selection processes and to inform any grievance procedure
- The records of all appointments are compiled and archived appropriately to support future selection processes
- That all selection rankings, approved by the LA or MNTC are displayed and accessible to all officials on the LA website, and available from members of the MNTC.

Any official may lodge a grievance against a failure to comply with the procedures of this standard to the LA Director, Officiating in accordance with the provisions of the MNTC Officiating Policy, paragraph 6–Grievance Procedures.

The MNTC will conduct performance reviews and complete an update of this Standard by 1 October every two years in accordance with the requirements of the MNTC Officiating Policy.